	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Notice of Allowability	10/821,402	KESSLER ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	david shay	3769	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appear All claims being allowable, PROSECUTION ON THE MERITS IS herewith (or previously mailed), a Notice of Allowance (PTOL-85) NOTICE OF ALLOWABILITY IS NOT A GRANT OF PATENT RI	ears on the cover sheet wit (OR REMAINS) CLOSED in or other appropriate commu GHTS. This application is s and MPEP 1308.	this application. If not included nication will be mailed in due course. TH	
1. This communication is responsive to <u>The interview of June</u>	<u>19, 2009</u> .		
2. The allowed claim(s) is/are <u>1-12</u> .			
 3. Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority una) a) All b) Some* c) None of the: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * Certified copies not received: 	been received. been received in Applicatio	n No	ne
Applicant has THREE MONTHS FROM THE "MAILING DATE" noted below. Failure to timely comply will result in ABANDONN THIS THREE-MONTH PERIOD IS NOT EXTENDABLE. 4. A SUBSTITUTE OATH OR DECLARATION must be subm	IENT of this application.		
INFORMAL PATENT APPLICATION (PTO-152) which give	es reason(s) why the oath or		
5. CORRECTED DRAWINGS (as "replacement sheets") mus		(PTO 040) III I	
 (a) ☐ including changes required by the Notice of Draftspers 1) ☐ hereto or 2) ☐ to Paper No./Mail Date 	=	(PTO-948) attached	
(b) ☐ including changes required by the attached Examiner's Paper No./Mail Date Identifying indicia such as the application number (see 37 CFR 1 each sheet. Replacement sheet(s) should be labeled as such in the	s Amendment / Comment or	e drawings in the front (not the back) of	
6. DEPOSIT OF and/or INFORMATION about the depo- attached Examiner's comment regarding REQUIREMENT			
Attachment(s) 1. ☑ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2. ☐ Notice of Draftperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3. ☐ Information Disclosure Statements (PTO/SB/08), Paper No./Mail Date 4. ☐ Examiner's Comment Regarding Requirement for Deposit of Biological Material	6. ☑ Interview St Paper No./ 7. ☐ Examiner's 8. ☐ Examiner's	ormal Patent Application Immary (PTO-413), Mail Date <u>6192009</u> . Amendment/Comment Statement of Reasons for Allowance	
	9.	<i>-</i>	

Art Unit: 3769

An examiner's amendment to the record appears below. Should the changes and/or additions be unacceptable to applicant, an amendment may be filed as provided by 37 CFR 1.312. To ensure consideration of such an amendment, it MUST be submitted no later than the payment of the issue fee.

Authorization for this examiner's amendment was given in a personal interview with Mr. Neil K. Nydegger on June 19, 2009.

In the claims:

in claim 1:

in line 6, "for rotation" has been replaced with -- and rotating --; in line 9, "for rotation" has been replaced with -- and rotating --; in line 12, "for rotation" has been replaced with -- and rotating --; in line 17, "means" has been replaced with -- controller --; in claim 2, line 1, -- each of -- has been inserted between "wherein" and "said"; and claims 13-20 have been cancelled.

The following is an examiner's statement of reasons for allowance: the claims are allowable due to the recitation, in addition to a z-scanning mechanism, a y-scanning mechanism, and a controller, of two x-scanning mechanisms which move in coordination such that when the first x-scanning mechanism rotates through an angle, the second x-scanner receiving the beam from the first x-scanner rotates through an angle twice that of the first, to compensate for the movement of the beam away from the center of the optical axis of the beam steering system.

While certain prior art, such as Yamakazi disclose multiple scanners for one axis, these scanners

Application/Control Number: 10/821,402 Page 3

Art Unit: 3769

do not act on the same beam, other prior art such as Bruland et al, teach multiple scanners for

different axes (two x-scanners and two y-scanners), but these act on different beams; while

Kuroiwa et al, Sakamoto and Arai et al also teach multiple scanners for different axes (again two

x-scanners and two y-scanners), that act on the same beams, but do not have the coordinated

control of the instant claims; thus the claims as they stand reads over these reference as well as

those applied previously.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

The oath or declaration is defective. A new oath or declaration in compliance with 37

CFR 1.67(a) identifying this application by application number and filing date is required. See

MPEP §§ 602.01 and 602.02.

Non-initialed and/or non-dated alterations have been made to the oath or declaration. See

37 CFR 1.52(c).

/david shay/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3769